

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,894	03/23/2004	Larry S. Eoff	2001-IP-005267U1P2	2392
71407 ROBERT A. K	7590 12/28/2007 ENT		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1431			FIGUEROA, JOHN J	
DUNCAN, OK 73536			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ROBERT.KENT1@HALLIBURTON.COM Tammy.Knight@Halliburton.com

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/806,894	EOFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John J. Figueroa	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	ctober 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 11-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.					
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/26/07 and 11/28/07.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

2. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claims 1-6 and 8-10 as anticipated by PCT Application Publication Number WO 03/056130 A1 to Couillet et al., hereinafter 'Couillet', is maintained for the same reasons previously set forth in items 3 and 5 on pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action of July 12, 2007 (hereinafter 'OA')).

Response to Arguments

The 35 U.S.C. §102 Rejection over Couillet (item 8 of FOA)

3. Applicant's arguments in the response to OA filed October 11, 2007 (hereinafter 'Response') with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 1-6 and 8-10 as anticipated by Couillet have been fully considered but deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant's arguments in Response regarding the "allowing" step in independent claim 1 requiring the relative permeability modifier (RPM) to attach onto "a surface within the subterranean formation", as opposed to a surface of the subterranean formation," are misguided. A person of ordinary skill in the art would interpret the claim phrase "surface of the formation" to encompass any surface of a subterranean formation, including the *inner* surfaces of a well or other subterranean formation.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,894

Art Unit: 1796

Moreover, Couillet clearly discloses a method for recovering hydrocarbons by providing an aqueous viscoelastic fracturing fluid and injecting said fluid into formation rocks to fracture said rocks. (See, e.g., item 17 on page 9 of the Office Action mailed July 3, 2006 citing page 4, line 10 to page 5, line 22 of Couillet, which discloses this injection process) As stated previously in item 5 of OA, because Couillet adds the same RPM polymer compound to a formation as encompassed by the method of the instant claims, then "at least a portion" of the permeability of the formation must inherently be "attaching" to the formation as disclosed in Couillet. A person skilled in the art would interpret the phrase "attaching to the formation" to encompass attaching to, e.g., the surface of a formation rock, which, of course, is a surface within the subterranean formation.

Thus, the instant claims remain anticipated by Couillet.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1796

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Figueroa whose telephone number is (571) 272-8916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JJF/RAG

RANDY GULAKOWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER FECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4